


# QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT

## 3rd QUARTER SFY 2016

January 1, 2016 – March 31, 2016



### Investigations

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

In-home Protective Services

### ADOPTIONS

Differential Response  
Foster Care

Produced for  
Arkansas Department of Human Services  
Division of Children and Family Services  
by  
Hornby Zeller Associates, Inc.



## Table of Contents

<b>Reports of Child Maltreatment</b>	<b>1</b>
Volume and Description of Child Maltreatment Reports	1
Meeting Agency Policies	4
Benefits to Children and Families	6
<b>In-Home Services</b>	<b>7</b>
Volume and Description of Cases	7
Meeting Agency Policies	8
Benefits to Children and Families	9
<b>Foster Care</b>	<b>10</b>
Volume and Description of Cases	10
Meeting Agency Policies	13
Benefits to Children and Families	15
<b>Adoption</b>	<b>16</b>
Volume and Description of Cases	16
Meeting Agency Policies	17
Benefits to Children and Families	18
<b>Resources</b>	<b>19</b>
Caseworkers and Caseloads	19
Foster Homes	20
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>23</b>
<i>Appendix A: Breakdown of Child Maltreatment Reports</i> Received During the Quarter and Substantiation Rates of Maltreatment Investigations	23
<i>Appendix B: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality</i>	24
<i>Appendix C: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality</i>	27
<i>Appendix D: Summary of Reports Involving Fatalities of</i> Children in Foster Care Who Did Not Receive a Maltreatment Investigation	28
<i>Appendix E: Average Caseload by County</i>	29
<i>Appendix F: Foster Home Closure Reasons</i>	30
<i>Appendix G: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports</i>	32




# Reports of Child Maltreatment

## Quick Facts

### Volume and Description of Child Maltreatment Reports

#### Volume of Incoming Reports

Maltreatment Reports Received During  
3rd Quarter SFY 2016



Of the 9,071 reports of child maltreatment accepted by the Arkansas Child Abuse Hotline during the quarter, 83 percent were assigned to DCFS and 17 percent were assigned to the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police, which is responsible for investigating the most serious allegations of maltreatment.

Of the reports assigned to DCFS, 84 percent were assigned for an investigation and 16 percent were handled through Differential Response (DR).

DR, which was implemented statewide in August 2013, allows the Division to respond to specific, low-risk maltreatment reports through a family assessment and provision of services rather than a traditional investigation.

The following types of allegations can qualify for DR:

- Inadequate Supervision if children are at least five
- Environmental Neglect if children are at least three
- Medical Neglect if children are at least thirteen
- Lock Out if children are at least ten
- Inadequate Food, Inadequate Shelter, Inadequate Clothing, and Educational Neglect with no age restrictions
- Certain allegations of abuse where the incident occurred at least one year prior to the report date


# Quick Facts

## Findings of Child Maltreatment Investigations

Twenty-two percent of the investigations assigned to DCFS during the quarter were found true, compared to 37 percent for CACD. The overall substantiation rate stood at 25 percent.

Appendix A provides further detail on the number of referrals accepted for investigation and those found true for the past four quarters.

Percentage Of Investigations Found True




## Characteristics of Children Involved in True Investigations

There were 2,234 victim children involved in the maltreatment investigations that were found true.


Of those children, 71 percent were white and 15 percent were black. Children under two years of age represented the largest group involved in true maltreatment investigations.

A majority of the victim children during the quarter (54 percent) were female.

Race/Ethnicity of Children in True Reports



Ages of Children in True Reports



## Types of Allegations in True Investigations

The majority of the 2,234 victim children in true investigations were involved in allegations of neglect, followed by allegations of physical abuse and sexual abuse.

Percentage of Children in True Allegations of Maltreatment

	Number of Children Cited in True Allegation	Percentage of Children Cited in True Allegation
Neglect	1,491	67%
Physical Abuse	531	24%
Sexual Abuse	415	19%

A child may have more than one allegation.

# Quick Facts


## Reports Involving Fatalities or Near Fatalities

Findings of Maltreatment Reports Involving Fatalities or Near Fatalities		
Finding	Child Fatality	Near Child Fatality
True	10	1
Unsubstantiated	3	0
Pending	9	0

During the quarter, there were 22 maltreatment investigations of a child fatality and one investigation concerning a near child fatality. Of the 22 child fatality investigations, ten were found true, three were unsubstantiated, and nine are still pending. The one near child fatality was found true.


Appendices B and C include more details regarding the fatality investigations.

## Reports Assigned for Differential Response (DR)



The number of reports assigned to DR during the quarter (1,195) increased by three percent compared to the previous quarter.

## Allegations Cited in DR Reports



Educational Neglect and Environmental Neglect were the most commonly cited allegations in reports assigned to DR.

# Quick Facts

## Meeting Agency Policies

### Timeliness of Initiating Investigations


The law requires reports of maltreatment to be initiated within 24 hours of a Priority I report or within 72 hours of a Priority II report.

Priority I reports cite more severe allegations while Priority II reports cite comparatively less serious allegations.

Initiation occurs when all victim children are interviewed or observed (if too young for an interview) within the designated timeframes.

DCFS initiated 84 percent of its investigations on time during the third quarter, two percentage points higher than the previous quarter.


Timely Initiations of Child Maltreatment Assessments (DCFS Only)



### Timeliness of Completing Investigations

DCFS completed 65 percent of its investigations on time (within 45 days of receipt of the report) during the quarter, four percentage points higher than the previous quarter.

Timely Completion of DCFS Assessments (DCFS Only)






# Quick Facts

## Timeliness of Initiating Reports Assigned to DR

Timeliness of DR Initiations




DR referrals must be initiated within 72 hours of receipt of the report.

DCFS initiated 65 percent of its DR reports on time during the quarter.

## Timeliness of Completing Reports Assigned to DR

Timeliness of DR Closures (Within 30 Days)



Reports assigned to DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days.


The Agency closed 57 percent of its DR reports on time, taking into account reports in which extensions were granted.

# Quick Facts

## Benefits to Children and Families

### Preventing the Recurrence of Maltreatment


Nine percent of the victim children involved in true investigations one year ago experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months. Five percent experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within six months.



*The National Standard for absence of recurrence within six months is 5.4 percent or less*

### Absence of Recurrence Among Families who Received DR

Five percent of the children who began receiving DR services in the third quarter of SFY 2015 were involved in a true investigation of child maltreatment within 12 months, and four percent were involved within six months.




# In-Home Services

## Quick Facts

### Volume and Description of Cases

#### Volume of Cases




In most instances, DCFS offers in-home services through a protective services case, which is opened when a true maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS' involvement with a family but there is not an immediate threat to any child's safety in the home.

The number of in-home protective services cases decreased by 12 percent during the quarter. At the end of the quarter, there were 3,023 in-home cases open across the state, involving 6,927 children.

The Division also offers some in-home supportive services to families on a voluntary basis. DCFS was responsible for 98 supportive services cases at the end of the quarter.

#### Length of Time Cases Have Been Open




The average length of time in-home cases were open at the end of the quarter was just about five and a half months (169 days). Supportive services cases were open, on average, just about four and a half months (140 days).

# Quick Facts

## Ages of Children in In-Home Cases

Children ages two to five make up the largest group of children involved in in-home cases at the end of the quarter.

Ages of Children in In-Home Cases




## Meeting Agency Policies

## Monthly Visits to In-Home Cases

On average, 57 percent of the in-home cases received a monthly face-to-face visit from a caseworker during the quarter.

Required Monthly Visits to In-Home Cases




# Quick Facts

## Benefits to Children and Families

### Victimization Rate Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services


**Percentage of Children Receiving Supportive and Protective Services who were Abused or Neglected within One Year of the Initiation of Service**



Of the children who began receiving supportive services during the third quarter one year ago, two percent experienced a true report of maltreatment within one year. During that same time frame, ten percent of the children who began receiving in-home protective services were involved in a true report within one year (90 percent were not).

### Rate of Entry Into Foster Care Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services

**Percentage of Children Receiving Supportive or Protective Services who Entered Foster Care within One Year of the Initiation of Service**



Of the children who began receiving supportive services during the third quarter one year ago, one percent entered foster care within one year of the initiation of those services. Meanwhile, nine percent of the children who began receiving protective services during the same timeframe entered care within one year.

These services prevent the vast majority of the children from being re-victimized or entering foster care within one year.

# Quick Facts


## Foster Care

### Volume and Description of Cases

#### Number of Children in Foster Care

There were 4,791 children in foster care at the end of the third quarter of SFY 2016. This signified a four percent increase from the previous quarter.

Number of Children in Foster Care at End of the Quarter




#### Characteristics of Children in Foster Care


Children two to five years of age (25 percent) represented the largest group of children in care. Sixty-two percent of those in foster care were white and 19 percent were black.

Of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter, 51 percent were male and 49 percent were female.

Race/Ethnicity of Children in Care at the End of Quarter




Ages of Children in Foster Care at the End of the Quarter



#### Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care

During the third quarter of SFY 2016, more children entered foster care than were discharged.

Number of Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care During Quarter



# Quick Facts

## Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care

### Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2016

Placement Reason	Number of Entries	Total
Substance Abuse	612 <sup>1</sup>	56%
Neglect	570	52%
Parent Incarceration	220	20%
Physical Abuse	129	12%
Inadequate Housing	105	10%
Sexual Abuse	49	4%
Caretaker Illness	32	3%
Child's Behavior	29	3%
Abandonment	25	2%
Other	31	3%

*A child may have more than one reason for entry.*

Substance abuse and neglect were the most prevalent reasons for children entering foster care.

## Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care

### Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2016

Placement Reason	Number of Discharges	Total
Reunification	356	44%
Relative Custody	214	26%
Adoption	162	20%
Child Aged Out	47	6%
Non-Relative Custody	14	2%
Custody Transfer	7	1%
Guardianship	6	1%
Death of Child	2	0%

*National data indicate that the three most prevalent reasons children leave care are reunification (51%), adoption (21%), and emancipation (10%).*

Seventy percent of the children who left foster care during the quarter were reunified either with their own family or that of a relative. Arkansas (90 percent) exceeds the national average of 80 percent of the children either going home, to a relative, or to an adoptive home.

<sup>1</sup> These 612 cases of substance abuse include 536 instances of parental drug abuse, 58 instances of parental alcohol abuse, 16 cases of drug abuse by children, and two cases of alcohol abuse by a child.

# Quick Facts

## Length of Stay in Foster Care

Of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter, 43 percent had been in care longer than 12 months.

## Placement of Children in Care

Forty-seven percent of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter resided in foster family homes.



Overall, 72 percent of the children resided in a family-like setting (foster family home, therapeutic foster home, relative care, pre-adoptive home, trial home visit) at the end of the quarter.

## Permanency Goals of Children in Care

Sixty percent of the children in care at the end of the quarter had a permanency goal of reunification (return home), and 22 percent had a goal of adoption.

## Length of Stay in Foster Care for Children in Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2016

	Number	Percentage	National <sup>2</sup>
<b>Less than 30 days</b>	351	7%	11%
<b>1-3 Months</b>	575	12%	15%
<b>3-6 Months</b>	600	13%	
<b>6-12 Months</b>	1,215	25%	20%
<b>12-24 Months</b>	1,091	23%	27%
<b>24-36 Months</b>	368	8%	13%
<b>36+ Months</b>	591	12%	14%
<b>Total</b>	4,791	100%	100%



<sup>2</sup> Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) preliminary data submitted for children in foster care between 10/1/2012 and 9/30/2013 as of July 2014.




# Quick Facts


## Meeting Agency Policies

### Monthly Visits to Foster Children

**Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face Visits by Caseworkers**



**Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face Visits by Any DCFS Staff**




Seventy-five percent of the foster children statewide received a monthly face-to-face visit from a DCFS caseworker, on average, during the quarter. To ensure safety and well-being, best practice requires foster children to be visited at their placements by their caseworkers monthly (measured in this chart).

Ninety-one percent of foster children received a monthly face-to-face contact from *any* DCFS staff member, regardless of the staff member's position, location of the visit, or the purpose.

### Initial Health Screenings and Comprehensive Health Assessments

**24-hour and 72-Hour Initial Health Screening and Comprehensive Health Assessments**



When a child enters foster care due to an allegation of severe maltreatment, DCFS must conduct an Initial Health Screening (IHS) within 24 hours. All other incoming foster children require health screenings within 72 hours. These children must also receive a Comprehensive Health Assessment (CHA) within 60 days of entering foster care.

DCFS completed 81 percent of its required 24-hour IHSs, 85 percent of its 72-hour IHSs, and 31 percent of its CHAs on time.


# Quick Facts

## Percentage of Siblings in Placement Who Are Placed Together

At the end of the quarter, 76 percent of the children with siblings in foster care were placed with at least one of their siblings, while 59 percent were placed with all of their siblings.

These figures do not exclude children who were placed separately for valid reasons such as safety issues or court orders.

Siblings in Care who are placed Together




## Placement Stability of Children in Foster Care

Placement stability is measured by the percentage of children with two or fewer placements who are in care for varying lengths of time.


Of children in care for less than 12 months, 78 percent experienced two or fewer placements; the national standard is 86 percent. Of the children in foster care between 12 and 24 months, 46 percent had two or fewer placements; the national standard for this group is 65.4 percent. Finally, of the children in care for over two years, only 18 percent experienced two or fewer placements, with the national standard being 41.8 percent.

Children six to 11 represent the largest group of children who experienced three or more placements during their stay in foster care.

Children in Foster Care who have Experienced Two or Fewer Placements




Ages of Children who Experienced Three or More Placements



# Quick Facts


## Benefits to Children and Families

### Children in Foster Care Who Return Home Within Twelve Months



Fifty-five percent of the children who entered foster care during the third quarter one year ago returned to their families within 12 months, exceeding the national standard of 48.4 percent.

### Preventing Children's Re-entry Into Foster Care



Of the children who were discharged to their families during the third quarter one year ago, 9.2 percent re-entered foster care within 12 months. The Division met the national standard of 9.9 percent or less for this quarter.

# Quick Facts


## Adoption

### Volume and Description of Cases

#### Children Available for Adoption<sup>3</sup>

At the end of the quarter, 606 children were available for adoption.


Number of Children Available for Adoption at the End of the Quarter




#### Characteristics of Children Available for Adoption

Of the available children, 58 percent were white and 20 percent were black. The largest group ranged in age from ten to 13 years.

Race/Ethnicity of Children Available for Adoption



Ages of Children Available for Adoptions




<sup>3</sup> Children available for adoption are defined as those who have a termination of parental rights on both parents and a goal of adoption.

# Quick Facts

## Placement of Children Available for Adoption

Placement of Children Available for Adoption  
at the End of the Quarter




Just about half (49 percent) of the children available for adoption at the end of the quarter resided in either a foster family home or a therapeutic foster home.

## Meeting Agency Policies

### Length of Time to Adoption

Length of Time From Entry into Foster Care  
to Finalization of Adoption (Months)



National  
standard  
of 27.3  
months  
or less

The median length of time from entry into foster care until finalization of adoption for children whose adoptions were finalized during the third quarter was 23 months, over four months sooner than the national standard of 27.3 months.

# Quick Facts


## Benefits to Children and Families

### Finalized Adoptions


One hundred and sixty-three adoptions were finalized during the third quarter of SFY 2016.

Children ages two to five were the largest group adopted during the quarter.


Number of Adoptions Finalized During the Quarter



Race/Ethnicity of Children with Finalized Adoptions



Ages of Children with Finalized Adoption



### Subsidized Adoptions

Subsidies are given to children with special needs, defined as:

- Caucasian and over nine
- African-American and at least two
- At least two members of a sibling group
- Children who have severe medical or psychological needs that require ongoing treatment
- Children at high risk for the development of serious physical, mental, or emotional conditions documented by a medical professional

During the third quarter, 147 children began receiving adoption subsidies. Of those, 137 were federally-funded subsidies and 10 were state-funded.

During the quarter, a total of 5,433 children received adoption subsidies.

## Resources

## Quick Facts

### Caseworkers and Caseloads


#### Caseloads at the End of the Quarter

As of the end of the quarter, the Division was responsible for:

- 3603 investigations of child maltreatment and 393 DR cases;
- 4,791 foster care cases (4,538 excluding adoption cases);
- 3,023 in-home cases, involving 6,927 children;
- 98 supportive services cases and 71 interstate compact for the placement of children (ICPC) cases.

This is while the Division was staffed with 430 family service workers, who were responsible for handling these cases.

Types of Cases at the End of the Quarter




*One foster care case is equal to one child in care; one in-home or supportive services case constitutes an entire family, many of which have multiple children.*

#### Caseloads Handled *During* the Quarter

This shows the number of cases that cycled through the system anytime during the quarter (as opposed to those open at the end of the quarter, described above).

The Division oversaw 9,742 investigations; 5,599 children in foster care; 3,770 in-home protective services cases; 1,644 DR cases; 171 supportive services cases; and 94 ICPC cases.

Types of Cases Served During the Quarter



# Quick Facts

## Average Caseload

The average caseload statewide stood at just above 27 cases per worker at the end of the quarter. This average is almost double the standard of about 15 cases per worker set by the Child Welfare League of America.

The average caseload for each county as of March 2016 is presented in Appendix E.

## Foster Homes



### Foster Homes and Beds

There were 1,478 licensed foster family homes open statewide at the end of the third quarter.


During the quarter, 220 new foster homes were opened and 176 were closed, for a net gain of 44 homes.

Appendix F displays the closure reasons for the foster homes that closed during the quarter.

Average Caseload at the End of Month



Number of Foster Family Homes Opened and Closed During the Quarter






# Quick Facts

## Ratio of Foster Home Beds to Foster Children


Foster Bed to Foster Child Ratio



The ratio of licensed foster home beds to children in foster care was less than one (0.69) at the end of the quarter, giving workers few choices of where to place children.

## Percentage of Foster Families with True Reports of Maltreatment

Percentage of Foster Families with True Reports of Maltreatment



Five reports in which foster parents were identified as alleged offenders were determined to be true during the quarter. Based on the 1,605 foster homes active during that period, the percentage of foster families with a true report of maltreatment was 0.31 percent.

Appendix G provides more detail on maltreatment reports concerning foster homes.



## Appendix A: Breakdown of Child Maltreatment Reports Received During the Quarter and Substantiation Rates of Maltreatment Investigations<sup>4</sup>

	DCFS				CACD		
	Total Assessments Assigned for DR	Total Assessments Assigned for Investigation	True Investigations	Percent True	Total Assessments Assigned for Investigation	True Investigations	Percent True
Fourth Quarter 2015	947	6,153 <i>5,924 Closed</i>	1,414	24%	1,505 <i>1,316 Closed</i>	501	38%
First Quarter 2016	797	5,894 <i>5,414 Closed</i>	1,243	23%	1,677 <i>1,483 Closed</i>	552	37%
Second Quarter 2016	1,145	6,701 <i>6,012 Closed</i>	1,374	23%	1,572 <i>1,446 Closed</i>	507	35%
Third Quarter 2016	1,151	5,638 <i>5,406 Closed</i>	1,183	22%	1,395 <i>1,266 Closed</i>	473	37%

<sup>4</sup> The data cited in this appendix run two months behind the referenced quarter (e.g., the third quarter refers to 11/1/2015 through 1/31/2016); as a result, the numbers cited in this appendix differ from those cited throughout the report which considers the actual quarter. This is because investigations have a 45-day completion timeframe and this allows enough time to pass to measure the disposition of the investigations. An investigation is excluded from the calculation of the substantiation rate in the event that it has not yet been closed (and thus a disposition has not yet been rendered).

## Appendix B: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality

### True Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
<b>Journey Daves</b>	Garland	5	White	Female	1/17/2016	Poisonous/ Noxious Substance	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
<b>Caitlin Caskey</b>	Little River	17	White	Female	1/23/2016	Neglect	In-Home	PRFC	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Rodrigo Valenzuela</b>	Pulaski	<1	White	Male	1/31/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	FC Case
<b>Thurman Billings</b>	Pulaski	1	Black	Male	2/2/2016	Inadequate Supervision/ Threat of Harm	Foster Care	Foster Father's Mother	CACD	None	FC Case	FC Case
<b>Nadia Patton</b>	Johnson	<1	Black	Female	2/25/2016	Abuse/ Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
<b>Alexander Poe</b>	Lonoke	6	White	Male	3/4/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	FC Case
<b>David Tucker</b>	Hot Spring	7	White	Male	3/6/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	PS Case
<b>Johan Heres</b>	Conway	1	Hispanic	Male	3/12/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
<b>Sophie Wilson</b>	Craighead	<1	White	Female	3/8/2016	Threat of Harm	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Micah Ellis</b>	Stone	<1	White	Male	3/31/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	FC Case	FC Case

## Unsubstantiated Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
<b>Ella Myers</b>	Howard	4	White	Female	1/26/16	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Brandyn Chance</b>	Lonoke	1	White	Male	3/31/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Jacie West</b>	White	<1	White	Female	3/24/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None

## Pending Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
<b>Grady Olsen</b>	Crawford	<1	White	Male	1/28/2016	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Mother's Boyfriend	CACD	None	None	PS Case
<b>Dreh'lyn Gordon</b>	Garland	<1	Multi-Ethnic	Male	1/27/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Morgan Jones</b>	Cross	<1	Black	Female	2/6/2016	Failure to Protect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Sonia Rodriguez</b>	Washington	12	Hispanic	Female	2/7/2016	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	Prior Investigation	None
<b>Corrian Johnson</b>	Chicot	<1	Black	Male	2/19/2016	Substance Misuse	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Miles Dunaway</b>	Boone	<1	White	Male	2/21/2016	Abuse	In-Home	Babysitter	CACD	None	Prior Investigation	None

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
<b>Evan Owens</b>	Carroll	6	White	Male	2/24/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Friend	CACD	None	PS Case	PS Case
<b>Joselynn Helms</b>	Washington	3	White	Female	2/26/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<b>Kaleb Newberry</b>	Independence	<1	White	Male	3/2/2016	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	None

## Appendix C: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality

### True Near Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Near Fatality	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Near Fatality	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Child #1	Craighead	<1	Black	Female	2/14/2016	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case Open

### Unsubstantiated Near Fatality Reports

There were no unsubstantiated near child fatality reports during the third quarter of SFY 2016.

### Pending Near Fatality Reports


There were no pending near child fatality reports during the third quarter of SFY 2016.

## Appendix D: Fatalities of Children in Foster Care Who Did Not Receive a Maltreatment Investigation

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Child #1	Washington	2	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Female	1/1/2016	Medical Condition	Foster Care	None	Foster Care	None



## Appendix E: Average Caseload as of March 31, 2016, by County



*Due to the fact that Pike and Prairie counties did not have any family service workers at the end of the quarter, their cases were assigned to workers from other counties.*

## Appendix F: Foster Home Closure Reasons

Area	County	Total	DHS Request	Family No Longer Interested	Non-Compliance/Failed Re-Evaluation	Other	Provider End Dated	Provider Request	Provider/Services No Longer Needed	Death of Parent	Founded Abuse/Neglect
1	Benton (Bentonville)	15	0	0	0	1	8	6	0	0	0
1	Carroll (Berryville)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	Madison (Huntsville)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	Washington (Fayetteville)	7	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
2	Crawford (Van Buren)	5	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
2	Franklin (Ozark)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Johnson (Clarksville)	4	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
2	Logan (Booneville)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Logan (Paris)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
2	Scott (Waldron)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
2	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	16	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
2	Yell (Danville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	Garland (Hot Springs)	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
3	Hot Spring (Malvern)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	Howard (Nashville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Perry (Perryville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Pike (Murfreesboro)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Polk (Mena)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
3	Saline (Benton)	9	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
4	Columbia (Magnolia)	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
4	Hempstead (Hope)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Lafayette (Lewistown)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Little River (Ashdown)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	Miller (Texarkana)	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
4	Nevada (Prescott)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Ouachita (Camden)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Sevier (DeQueen)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Union (El Dorado)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
5	Baxter (Mountain Home)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Boone (Harrison)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
5	Conway (Morrilton)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Faulkner (Conway)	7	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1
5	Marion (Yellville)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Newton (Jasper)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Pope (Russellville)	5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
5	Searcy (Marshall)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Van Buren (Clinton)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
6	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Pulaski (East)	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
6	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
6	Pulaski (North)	5	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
6	Pulaski (South)	18	1	0	0	3	7	7	0	0	0
6	Pulaski (Southwest)	7	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Area	County	Total	DHS Request	Family No Longer Interested	Non-Compliance/Failed Re-Evaluation	Other	Provider End Dated	Provider Request	Provider/Services No Longer Needed	Death of Parent	Founded Abuse/Neglect
7	Bradley (Warren)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
7	Calhoun (Hampton)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Cleveland (Rison)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
7	Dallas (Fordyce)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Grant (Sheridan)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
7	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
7	Lincoln (Star City)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Lonoke (Lonoke)	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
8	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Craighead (Jonesboro)	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0
8	Fulton (Salem)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
8	Greene (Paragould)	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Izard (Melbourne)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
8	Lawrence (Walnut Ridge)	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
8	Mississippi (Blytheville)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Mississippi (Osceola)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Randolph (Pocahontas)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
8	Sharp (Ash Flat)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
9	Cross (Wynne)	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
9	Independence (Batesville)	6	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1
9	Jackson (Newport)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
9	Stone (Mountain View)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
9	White (Searcy)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
10	Arkansas (Dewitt)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Ashley (Hamburg)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Chicot (Lake Village)	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
10	Desha (McGehee)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
10	Drew (Monticello)	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
10	Lee (Marianna)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Monroe (Brinkley)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Monroe (Clarendon)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Phillips (Helena)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
10	St. Francis (Forrest City)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Area Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
99	<b>Out of State</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

## Appendix G: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports

### Characteristics of Children in Foster Care Involved in Allegations of Maltreatment, 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter SFY 2016

One hundred and twenty-three reports of alleged maltreatment, involving 143 children in foster care, were received by the hotline during the third quarter of SFY 2016.


In 32 reports involving 38 children, foster parents<sup>5</sup> were identified as the alleged offenders. As shown in the following chart, five of those reports were found to be true; three of the five homes were subsequently closed, with the remaining two waiting closure.

**Foster Home Maltreatment Reports  
3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter SFY 2016**

True	Unsubstantiated	Pending
5	27	0


All allegations of maltreatment concerning foster homes are investigated by the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police. DCFS staff do not actively participate in the investigation of these reports. Allegations of maltreatment regarding foster homes require the agency to assess the safety of all children residing in the home.

The following charts describe the characteristics of the 38 foster children involved in allegations of maltreatment with foster parents as the alleged offenders.




<sup>5</sup> This includes foster family homes and therapeutic foster homes


**Race/Ethnicity**



**Gender**



**Allegation**



### County of Foster Homes in Which Alleged Maltreatment Occurred

County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report	County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report
Pulaski	4	Washington	2
Johnson	3	Yell	1
Crawford	3	Benton	1
Faulkner	3	Independence	1
Sevier	3	Hempstead	1
Sebastian	3	Garland	1
Madison	2	Franklin	1
Nevada	2	Drew	1
Saline	2	Boone	1
Union	2	Lee	1